

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
FORT MYERS DIVISION

In re:

Case No. 9:04-bk-03621-ALP
Chapter 7

ROBERT LAING,

Debtor.

R. TODD NEILSON, Trustee of the
Estate of Reed E. Slatkin and the
Substantively Consolidated Affiliates
Topsight Oregon, Inc. and Reed
Slatkin Investment Club, L.P.
Liquidating Trust

Plaintiff,

vs. Adv. Pro. 9:04-ap-402-ALP

ROBERT LAING,

Defendant.

FINAL JUDGMENT

THIS CAUSE came on for consideration upon the Court's own Motion for the purpose of entering a Final Judgment in the above-captioned adversary proceeding. The Court has considered the record and finds that this Court has entered its Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law and Memorandum Opinion. Therefore, it appears appropriate to enter this Final Judgment.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Final Judgment be, and the same is hereby, entered in favor of the Debtor/Defendant, Robert Laing and against the Plaintiff, R. Todd Neilson, Trustee of the Estate of Reed E. Slatkin and the Substantively Consolidated Affiliates Topsight Oregon, Inc., and Reed Slatkin Investment Club, L.P., Liquidating Trust as to the claim in Count I (Objection to Debtor's Homestead Exemption/Imposition of Equitable Lien). The Slatkin Trustee's Objection to Debtor's claim of Homestead Exemption be, and the same is hereby, overruled and it is ordered and determined that the Naples Condominium is exempt and within the protection of Article X, Section 4 of the Florida

Constitution. The alternative request for an equitable lien on the Homestead property be, and the same is hereby, denied. It is further

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Final Judgment be, and the same is hereby, entered in favor of the Debtor/Defendant, Robert Laing and against the Plaintiff, R. Todd Neilson, Trustee of the Estate of Reed E. Slatkin and the Substantively Consolidated Affiliates Topsight Oregon, Inc., and Reed Slatkin Investment Club, L.P., Liquidating Trust as to the claims in Count II (Denial of Discharge Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 727(a)(2)(A)); Count III (Denial of Discharge Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 727(a)(3)) and 727(A)(4)(A); Count IV (Failure to Satisfactorily Explain Loss of Assets Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 727(a)(5)).

The claims asserted in Counts II, III, and IV be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice. The Debtor shall be entitled to obtain his general bankruptcy discharge by entry of a separate order.

DONE AND ORDERED at Tampa, Florida,
on August 1, 2005.

/s/ Alexander L. Paskay
ALEXANDER L. PASKAY
United States Bankruptcy Judge